

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/685,090	10/11/2000	Abel C. Dasylva	57983.000005	3422
75	90 06/28/2005	•	EXAM	INER
Thomas E. An			PHAN, HANH	
Hunton & Willi 1900 K Street, 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D		. •	2638	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/200	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		( <b>)</b>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/685,090	DASYLVA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hanh Phan	2638				
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N	MONTH(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED THE STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED THE STATE OF TH	ATION.  17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the corp of the corp.  by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status ·	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>11 October 2000</u> .					
•						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the app	lication.	·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,10-12 and 14-24</u> is/ard	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,10-12 and 14-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 5,9 and 13 is/are objected to.	⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,9 and 13</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	)□ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in a the priority documents have been I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• —-	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	رن ( المارة : Outer :	<del></del> ·				

Art Unit: 2638

# **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 09/30/2004.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-12 and 14-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ashwood Smith (Pub. No. : US 2005/0078659 A1).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding claims 1 and 19, referring to Figures 1-3, Ashwood Smith discloses a method for routing data within an optical network having a plurality of network nodes, the method comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2638

receiving data at a first network node (i.e., source node 10a, Fig. 1) via a first optical signal having a first wavelength, the first wavelength corresponding to a first optical frequency, the first optical frequency being mapped to a first binary representation, the first binary representation being divided into a first plurality of fields, at least one of the first plurality of fields corresponding to a routing label in a first label stack; a top routing label in the first label stack indicating a second network node (i.e., destination node 10b, Fig. 1); and

based at least partially upon the top routing label, transmitting the data from the first network node to the second network node via a second optical signal having a second wavelength (see Figs. 1-3 and see paragraphs [0030]-[0034]).

Regarding claim 2, Ashwood Smith further teaches the step of: popping the top routing label off the first label stack so as to promote a next routing label in the first label stack (Figs. 1-3).

Regarding claims 3, 7 and 11, Aswood Smith further teaches the second wavelength corresponds to a second optical frequency, the second optical frequency being mapped to a second binary representation, the second binary representation being divided into a second plurality of fields, at least one of the second plurality of fields corresponding to a routing label in a second label stack, a top routing label in the second label stack indicating a third network node (Figs. 1-3).

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Aswood Smith further teaches the top routing label in the second label stack corresponds to the next routing label in the first label stack (Figs. 1-3).

Art Unit: 2638

Regarding claims 6, 8 and 12, Aswood Smith further teaches the step of: swapping the top routing label in the first label stack with a new routing label when the first label stack contains more than two routing labels (Figs. 1-3).

Regarding claims 14, 15, 20 and 21, Aswood Smith further teaches the first wavelength is the different from the second wavelength (Figs. 1-3).

Regarding claims 16 and 22, Aswood Smith further teaches at least another one of the first plurality of fields corresponds to a termination field indicating an end of the first label stack (Figs. 1-3).

Regarding claims 17 and 23, Aswood Smith further teaches at least another one of the first plurality of fields corresponds to a contention field for differentiating the first wavelength from a third wavelength (Figs. 1-3).

Regarding claims 18 and 24, Aswood Smith further teaches the data is a first data, wherein second data is received at the first network node via a third optical signal having the third wavelength, and wherein the first optical signal and the third optical signal have similar routing paths through the network (Figs. 1-3).

# Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5, 9 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2638

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Phan whose telephone number is (571)272-3035.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye, can be reached on (571)272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

HANH PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

